THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. *R.* BHARDWAJ): (a) and (b) It has been decided that for the purposes of determining the Judge strength of the High Courts, the average institution and the pendency of main gases during the last 5 years may be considered by taking into account the national average or the average of disposal per Judge in that High Court whichever is higher. If the disposal per Judge in this concerned High Court is below even the national average then instead of increasing the strength, the concerned High Court may take steps for improvement in the rate of disposal of cases. If the average disposal of the concerned High Court is above the national average then increase in Judge strength may accordingly be considered. The strength of the Additional Judges be leviewed only if, there is an increase in the arrears of the pending cases during the last 5 years and the disposal per Judge is above the national average.

(c) There is no proposal to grant extension to High Court Judges for disposal of pending matters. The emphasis is on Ailing up of vacant posts and increasing the strength of Judges, if necessary.

Office of Registrar of companies in Delhi and Haryana

743. DR. RANDIR SINGH:

SHRI RAM NATH KOVIND:

Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what is the total number of Offices of Registrar of Companies (ROCs) in the country, particularly, in Delhi and Haryana;

(b) what is the strength of the employees who have been employed in offices of Registrar of Companies and also comparative figures of Staff in Bombay, Calcutta and Madras;

(c) what is the total area in square feet that has been allotted to these offices, especifically in Delhi and Haryana, the number of Companies in the year of allotment of said areas and the present number of companies registered under the Rules with the Registrar of Companies in Delhi and Haryana and the strength of staff in 1978-79, till date;

(d) whether ROCs is a Service Department or a Revenue Department; and

(e) what is the number of posts lying vacant in the offices of ROCs and by when these are likely to be filled up by Government?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW, JUSTICE, AND COMPANY AFFAIRS (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) The tola? number of Offices of ROCs in the contry is 19 including one ROC office for both Delhi and Haryana.

(b) As per Statement (See below)

(c) As per Statement—II and Statement—III (See below)

(d) Office of ROC is basically a Service Department but in the process it also earns revenue.

(e) As per Statement-I (See below).

The process for filling up vacant posts in the offices of ROCs is going on and these are likely to be filled up by the Government shortly.

Statement-I

*Lint of the Staff of the Registrar of Companies In New Delhi, Bombay, Madras and calcutt^*

S. No.	Name of the ROC Office at	Sanctioned Strength of Employees as on date (Part b)	Staff Strength as in 1978-79 (Part c)	Number of vacant Posts (Part e)
01.	Delhi & Haryana.	127	90	19
02.	Bombay	229	168	24
03.	Calcutta	224	199	37
04.	Madras	73	71	08
05.	Jaipur.	21	..	01
06	Jalandhar	30	..	03
07.	Kanpur	41	..	05
08.	Srinagar	10	..	02
09.	Cuttack	15	..	02
10.	Patna	18	..	03
11.	Shillong	18	..	..
12.	Ahmedabad	45	..	02
13.	Goa	11	..	..
14.	Gwalior	23	..	02
15.	Bangalore	29	..	..
16.	Coimbatore	18	..	01
7.	Ernakulam	29	..	02
8.	Hyderabad	24	..	..
19.	Pandicherry	11	..	..

Statement—II

Area aliened to each office of the Reglstear of the Companies at Delhi, Bombay, Madras & Calcutta

S. No.	Name of RCC Office at	Areas (Square feet) Allotted to the ROC Office in the year (s)		Number of companies in the year of allotment of the area and present number
		Years	Area (Sq. ft.)	
			(Part c)	
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Delhi & Haryana	1978-79	8,345	9,201
		1986	9,176	20,905
		1995	9,176	64,189

1	2	3	4	5
2.	Bombay	1956	8,000	8,000
		1977	11,000	20,000
		1995	11,000	85,717
3.	Calcutta	1984	19,548	26,782
		1995	19,548	47,390
4.	Madras	1967	5,245	3,158
		1995	6,160	24,414
5.	Jaipur	1987	2,500	3,881
		1995	2,750	9,615
6.	Jalandhar	1986	5,176	7,017
		1995	5,176	16,026
7.	Kanpur	1956	2,486	2,725
		1995	4,786	15,010
8.	Srinagar	1991	1,000	1,200
		1995	2,500	1,421
9.	Cuttack	1993	2,383	3,046
		1995	2,383	3,513
10.	Patna	1957	3,600	660
		1995	3,600	6,379
11.	Shillong	1971	1,900	412
		1995	1,900	3,344
12.	Ahmedabad	1960	1,200	950
		1971	3,280	1,870
		1978	3,720	2,460
		1995	3,720	23,604
13.	Goa	1969	940	094
		1995	940	1,779
14.	Gwalior	In the year of allotment	2,061	843
		1995	4,140	9,078
15.	Bangalore	1995	5,201	15,901
16.	Coimbatore	1989	2,272	2,500
		1995	2,272	5,831
17.	Ernakulam	In the year of allotment	4,200	1,828
		1995	4,200	8,707

1	2	3	4	5
18.	Hyderabad	1979	4,500	1,830
		1995	5,000	16,372
19.	Pondicherry	1994	1,850	938
		1995	1,850	1,061

Statements-III

Details regarding strength of staff In the office of registrar of companies, N.C.T.of Delhi and Haryana for the year 1978 *and as on. today*

Designation	1978	1979	1981	1982	1988-89	1994-95	In position	Vacant post as on today	
Registrar . . .	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	
Addl. Registrar . .	—	—	—	2	2	2	2	—	
Co. Prosecuter-I . .	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	—	
Co. Prosecuter-II . .	1	1	1	— (abolished)	—	—	—	—	
Asstt. Registrar . .	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	—	
Hindi Officer . . .	—	—	—	—	—	1	1	—	
Sr. Tech. Asstt. . .	4	4	4	8	8	8	1	1	
Co. Prosecutor-III .	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	1	
Supdt.-I . . .	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	
Suput.-II . . .	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	—	*(12 posts includes 3 ad-hoc promottee also)
Jr. Tech. Asstt. . .	8	9	10	16	16	16	12	4	
Stat. Asstt. . . .	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	
Sr. Steno . . .	—	—	—	1	1	1	1	—	
Jr. Steno . . .	4	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	
Hindi Translator . .	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	
UDC	20	21	21	30	30	30	23	7	(include 3 va cancies araisi.g out of ad-hoc promotion.)
LDC . . .	31	33	33	38	38	38	37	1	
Daftri	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	—	
Peon	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	—	
Chowkidar . . .	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	
Sweeper . . .	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	—	
	90	95	96	126	126	127	108	19	